

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/664,180 | 09/18/2000 | Robert Ellis Chapman JR. | YOR920000633US1 | 5786 | |
| 7590 06/03/2005 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| RYAN, MASON & LEWIS, LLP | | | NGUYEN | NGUYEN, HUY D | |
| 1300 POST RO SUITE 205 | AD | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| FAIRFIELD, C | CT 06824 | | 2681 | 2681 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/664,180 | CHAPMAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Huy D. Nguyen | 2681 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 December 2004. | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application | ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-4, 8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| oce the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | u. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | • | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | ate atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, and 8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-4, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alperovich et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,987,318).

Regarding claims 1, 3, and 8, Alperovich et al. teaches a wireless device for connecting to one or more network node devices (e.g., MSC 10), the network node devices connected to one or more wirelines (e.g., connections 250 and 270), the wireless device comprising: one or more wireless signal generators supporting one or more wireless connections; one or more memories comprising an identifier identifying the wireless device; one or more negotiators that negotiate with the network node device in order to establish a connection to one or more wirelines connected to the network node; and a requesting process that requests, through a message sent to the network node device, bridging by the wireless device to a call in progress, the message comprising the request for bridging and the identifier (col. 6, line 50 – col. 7, line 18).

· [Application/Control Number: 09/664,180

Art Unit: 2681

Alperorich et al. teaches the claimed invention except that said call in progress is carried between said network node and a network via twisted-pair wirelines.

However, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the connections between the network node and the network being twisted-pair wirelines since it appears the invention would perform equally well with the connections between the network node and the network being twisted-pair wirelines.

Regarding claim 4, Alperovich et al. teaches the method of claim 3 further comprising the step of communicating with the network node device to establish the eligibility of the wireless device to join a call in progress (col. 6, line 60 – col. 7, line 3).

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/664,180

Art Unit: 2681

Contact Information

Page 4

5. nAny inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Huy D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7845. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 703-306-0003. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Had

Huy Nguyen

EMMANUÉL L. MOISE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER